

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/442,685	11/18/1999	BOBBY MAL PHILLIPS	70493-(US06)	6259
21878	7590 07/26/2002	•		
KENNEDY COVINGTON LOBDELL & HICKMAN, LLP 100 N TRYON STREET BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATE CENTER CHARLOTTE, NC 28202-4006			EXAMINER	
			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
CITICEOTT	L, NC 20202-4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	14
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2002	! '

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS-14	
÷	
ldress	
ICE. oly to a cation in Continued	
At he seried between te	
vhichever is later. In ction.  N. See MPEP	
propriate extension propriate extension al Office action; or jection, even if	
;	
simplifying the	
ms.	
d amendment	

Applicant(s) Application N . PHILLIPS ET AL. 09/442,685 **Advisory Action Art Unit** Examin r 1772 William P. Watkins III

-- The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ad

THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWAN Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper rep final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 rejection of claim 156.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: 152.
Claim(s) objected to: <u>154</u> .
Claim(s) rejected: <u>145-149,151,153 and 155-166</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that suitable fibers are SW173 which are crimped, Osborn, III et al. also lists at col. 7, lines 30-35 the fibers of WO 93/02235 (already of record) which teaches at page 41, lines 5-15 the use of substantially parallel fibers in a tow.

Continuation of 10. Other: In the event of an appeal, the rejection will be rewritten to take into account applicant's amendment of a dependent limitation into the base claim, using the arguments advanced by the examiner, already of record, regarding this limitation. This will not be considered as a new grounds of rejection by the examiner.

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER

Millien P. Wheather